

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/994,526	26 11/27/2001		Akira Tsubouchi	18733/00070	8523	
24731	7590	04/09/2003		•		
		ROWN & WOOI	EXAMINER			
717 NORTH SUITE 3400	)		KRAMER, DEVON C			
DALLAS, TX 75201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3683	<u> </u>	
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

c		Application No.	Applicant	(s)	$\overline{}$
		09/994,526	TSUBOUG	TSUBOUCHI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Devon C Kramer	3683		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			ence address -	
	<b>r Reply</b> DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		RE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM		
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuably received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayment adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory mining d will apply and will expire SI te, cause the application to b	um of thirty (30) days will be consid X (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communica 133).	ation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	March 2002 .			
2a) <u></u>		his action is non-fin	al.		
3)  Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	vance except for for	mal matters, prosecution		ts is
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application	1			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 is/are withdrawn f				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	ont		
	on Papers	or election requirem	ent.		
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		I to by the Examiner.		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			l.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	= : :	*	• •	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re				
12)[] 7	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
_	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, ,	• ( ) ( )		
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been receiv	ed.		
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen				
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price				
* S	application from the International Bree the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17 t of the certified cop	.2(a)). ies not received.	· ·	
14)∏ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a prov	isional applic	ation). \
15) 🗌 A	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			1.	$\left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right)$
Attachment	• •			111	1/////
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) P lotice of Informal Patent Applica ther:		ER SCHWER
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Action Summary		Part of Repent	0.5

Application/Control Number: 09/994,526

Art Unit: 3683

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Election/Restrictions

- 1) Applicant's election without traverse of group 1 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.
- 2) Claim 7 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.
- 3) Applicant's election with traverse of Species 1 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there are a reasonable number of species presented in the application. This is not found persuasive because the numerous patentably distinct species requires searching diverse areas.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5) Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holka (5082077).

Holka provides a hollow rack shaft (32) for a steering system having: two rack teeth groups (116, 118) respectively formed by plastic working and located longitudinally apart from each other; where the rack teeth groups are out of phase by an angle around the axis of the shaft (figure 9).

Application/Control Number: 09/994,526

Art Unit: 3683

)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

6) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7) Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holka (5082077) in view of Anderson et al (4741191).

Holka is silent to how the teeth are formed.

Anderson et al teaches forming rack teeth by die forming.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have formed the teeth of Holka with a die as taught by Anderson et al merely to provide an inexpensive, commonly practiced method of forming the teeth. It would have been obvious to either form the teeth either sequentially or simultaneously depending on the die used, equipment available, and the time available for production.

#### Conclusion

- 8) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Holka (5197561), D'Alessio et al, Guey, Dominguez, Millard, Klasing, and Downing all provide racks with two sets of teeth.
- 9) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

Application/Control Number: 09/994,526

Art Unit: 3683

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3519 for regular communications and 703-308-3519 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1134.

DK March 25, 2003